



行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫成果報告

對偶邊界積分方程在外域聲場問題之應用
Applications of dual boundary integral equations
to exterior acoustic problems

計畫編號: NSC-88-2211-E-019-005

執行期限: 87年8月1日至88年7月31日

主持人: 陳正宗 國立台灣海洋大學河海工程學系 教授

協同主持人: 陳義麟 國立高雄海洋技術學院造船工程科 講師

ABSTRACTS

This report presents the mechanism for the irregular frequencies appearing in the wave radiation problem using the dual BEM. The relation between the matrices of influence coefficients for interior and exterior acoustic problems is examined. Also, the irregular (fictitious) frequencies (eigenvalues) embedded in the singular or hypersingular integral equations are discussed, respectively. It is found that the irregular values depend on the kernels in the integral representation for the solution. Numerical experiments using dual formulation program are conducted to check the validity in comparison with the theoretical proof of the independence of boundary conditions which have been shown by Chen using the degenerate kernels. A two-dimensional dual BEM program for the exterior acoustic problems was developed. Numerical examples are demonstrated by using the dual BEM program. Two cases, including the exterior Dirichlet and Neumann problems, show that the singular integral equation produces the fictitious eigenvalues which are associated with the eigenfrequencies of interior Dirichlet problem, while the hypersingular integral equation produces the fictitious eigenvalues which are associated with the interior Neumann problem.

Keywords: dual BEM, radiation, fictitious eigenvalues and exterior acoustic problem

INTRODUCTION

Integral equation method has been used to solve exterior acoustic problems (radiation and scattering) for many years. It is well known that fictitious eigenvalues stem from the numerical resonance instead of the physical resonance. Many references including commercial software claimed that the integral solution does not have a solution at cer-

tain eigenfrequencies of an associated interior problem. However, their conclusions are not consistent. Chen (1988) drew the conclusion that the positions of fictitious eigenvalues are independent of the boundary conditions once the method is chosen by using dual series model. To demonstrate the mechanism why fictitious eigenvalues occur, Chen and Hong (1992) and Chen (1998) showed that the positions where fictitious eigenvalues occur depend on the kernels in the integral representation for the solution by using a one-dimensional semi-infinite example. From the numerical point of view, this nonunique problem can be seen as the indefinite form of zero divided by zero. If L'hospital's rule can be employed analytically, no fictitious eigenvalues should occur. However, L'hospital's rule can not be applied in the numerical computation.

In this report, the dual boundary element program was developed to verify the conclusion by Chen (1998). The dual BEM program is based on the theory of dual integral equations. A detailed study on dual BEM can be found by Chen and Hong (1999). The relations of the influence matrices between the interior and exterior acoustic problems are examined. Two examples, including the Dirichlet and Neumann radiation problems, are illustrated to show the mechanism of fictitious eigenvalues. It shows that boundary conditions can not change the positions of fictitious eigenvalues once the integral representation for the solution is chosen. Some misleading statements in the literature will become clear and will be corrected after the theoretical proof (Chen, 1998) and the present numerical studies.

DUAL INTEGRAL FORMULATION FOR AN EXTERIOR ACOUSTIC PROBLEM

The governing equation for an exterior acoustic problem is the Helmholtz equation as follows:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)u(x_1, x_2) = 0, (x_1, x_2) \in D,$$

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator, D is the domain of the cavity and k is the wave number, which

is angular frequency over the speed of sound. For simplicity, radiation problem is considered only. The boundary conditions can be either the Neumann or Dirichlet type.

Based on the dual integral equations (Chen and Hong, 1999), the dual equations for the boundary points are

$$\pi u(x) = C.P.V. \int_B T(s, x)u(s)dB(s) - R.P.V. \int_B U(s, x)t(s)dB(s), \quad x \in B(1)$$

$$\pi t(x) = H.P.V. \int_B M(s, x)u(s)dB(s) - C.P.V. \int_B L(s, x)t(s)dB(s), \quad x \in B(2)$$

where *C.P.V.*, *R.P.V.* and *H.P.V.* denote the Cauchy principal value, the Riemann principal value and the Hadamard principal value, $t(s) = \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial n_s}$, *B* denotes the boundary enclosing *D* and the explicit forms of the four kernels, *U*, *T*, *L* and *M*, can be found in Chen and Hong (1999).

RELATIONS OF THE INFLUENCE MATRICES BETWEEN INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR PROBLEMS USING DUAL BEM

The linear algebraic equations for an interior problem discretized from the dual boundary integral equations Eqs.1 and 2 can be written as

$$[T_{pq}^i]\{u_q\} = [U_{pq}^i]\{t_q\} \quad (3)$$

$$[M_{pq}^i]\{u_q\} = [L_{pq}^i]\{t_q\}, \quad (4)$$

where the superscript "i" denotes the interior problem, $\{u_q\}$ and $\{t_q\}$ are the boundary potential and flux, and the subscripts *p* and *q* correspond to the labels of the collocation element and integration element, respectively.

For the interior problem, the influence coefficients of the four square matrices [*U*], [*T*], [*L*] and [*M*] can be represented as

$$U_{pq}^i = R.P.V. \int_{B_q} U(s_q, x_p)dB(s_q) \quad (5)$$

$$T_{pq}^i = \bar{T}_{pq} - 2\pi\delta_{pq} = -\pi\delta_{pq} + C.P.V. \int_{B_q} T(s_q, x_p)dB(s_q) \quad (6)$$

$$L_{pq}^i = \bar{L}_{pq} + 2\pi\delta_{pq} = \pi\delta_{pq} + C.P.V. \int_{B_q} L(s_q, x_p)dB(s_q) \quad (7)$$

$$M_{pq}^i = H.P.V. \int_{B_q} M(s_q, x_p)dB(s_q), \quad (8)$$

where *B_q* denotes the *qth* element and $\delta_{pq} = 1$ if *p* = *q*; otherwise it is zero. *T_{pq}* and \bar{T}_{pq} differ by a jump term $-2\pi\delta_{pq}$ while *L_{pq}* and \bar{L}_{pq} differ by a jump term $2\pi\delta_{pq}$.

For the exterior problem, we have

$$[T_{pq}^e]\{u_q\} = [U_{pq}^e]\{t_q\} \quad (9)$$

$$[M_{pq}^e]\{u_q\} = [L_{pq}^e]\{t_q\}. \quad (10)$$

where the superscript "e" denotes the exterior problem.

According to the dependence of the outnormal vectors in these four kernel functions for the interior and exterior problems, their relationship can be easily found as shown below (Chen et al. 1995) :

$$U_{pq}^i = U_{pq}^e \quad (11)$$

$$M_{pq}^i = M_{pq}^e \quad (12)$$

$$T_{pq}^i = \begin{cases} -T_{pq}^e, & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ T_{pq}^e, & \text{if } p = q \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$L_{pq}^i = \begin{cases} -L_{pq}^e, & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ L_{pq}^e, & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Based on the relations for the influence matrices between the interior and exterior problems, the dual BEM program can be easily extended to exterior problems. For comparison with analytical solutions, a circular domain is considered. The absolute value for the determinant of the eight matrices, $U_{pq}^i, T_{pq}^i, L_{pq}^i, M_{pq}^i, U_{pq}^e, T_{pq}^e, L_{pq}^e$ and M_{pq}^e versus the wave number *k* are plotted in Fig.1. It is found that the characteristic values match the same for the four kernels $U_{pq}^i, L_{pq}^i, U_{pq}^e$, and T_{pq}^e which are the eigenvalues of the associated interior Dirichlet problem as shown in Table 1. On the other hand, the four kernels $T_{pq}^i, M_{pq}^i, L_{pq}^e$, and M_{pq}^e have the same characteristic values which are the eigenvalues of the associated interior Neumann problem as shown in Table 2.

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES FOR FICTITIOUS FREQUENCIES USING THE DUAL BEM

Two examples, including the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, are provided (Harari et al., 1998) and are shown in Fig.2. In the two cases, the same exact solutions are designed as shown in Fig.3. For the specified value one for *k*, the numerical solutions *u*(*r*, θ) for the Dirichlet and Neumann exterior problems by using the dual BEM are

shown in Figs.4 and 5, respectively. Good agreement can be made. The Neumann exterior problem by using the *UT* formulation has the fictitious eigenvalues near $k = 2.41$ as shown in Fig.6. However, the Dirichlet exterior problem by using the *UT* formulation has the same fictitious eigenvalues near $k = 2.40$ as shown in Fig.7. This indicates that the type of boundary conditions (Dirichlet or Neumann) for the exterior problem can not change the position of the fictitious eigenvalues once the integral representation for the solution is chosen, e.g., in this case *UT* formulation is adopted. Also, it is found that the fictitious eigenvalues resulted from the *UT* formulation corresponds to the associated Dirichlet problem since $k = 2.40$ can be found in Table 1. In a similar way, the Neumann exterior problem by using the *LM* formulation has the fictitious eigenvalues at $k = 3.8355$ as shown in Fig.8. Also, the Dirichlet exterior problem by using the *LM* formulation has the same fictitious eigenvalues near $k = 3.83$ as shown in Fig.9. This also indicates that the type of boundary conditions (Dirichlet or Neumann) for the exterior problem can not change the position of the fictitious eigenvalues. The numerical experiments for the fictitious eigenvalues match well with the theoretical derivation in Chen (1998).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The occurring mechanism of fictitious eigenvalues in direct BEM has been examined using the dual BEM by considering the relations between the influence matrices of interior and exterior problems. It is found that the irregular values depend on the first (*UT*) or second (*LM*) equation used in the dual integral equations no matter what the types of specified boundary conditions are. Two examples have been given to verify this point of view. Both examples show that the first *UT* equation results in fictitious eigenvalues which are associated with the interior eigenfrequency with essential homogeneous boundary conditions, while the second *LM* equation produces fictitious eigenvalues which are associated with the interior eigenfrequency with natural homogeneous boundary conditions. The numerical results are consistent with the analytical derivations.

REFERENCES

- Burton, A. J. and Miller, G. F. (1971). "The Application of Integral Equation Methods to Numerical Solution of Some Exterior Boundary Value Problems," *Proc R Soc London Ser A*, Vol.323, pp.201-210.
- Chen, J. T. (1998). "On Fictitious Frequencies Using Dual Series Representation", *Mech Res Comm*, Vol.25, No.5, pp.529-534.
- Chen, J. T. and H.-K. Hong (1992). "Boundary Element Method," New World Press (in Chinese).
- Chen, J. T. and H.-K. Hong (1999). "Review of dual boundary element methods with emphasis on hypersingular integrals and divergent series," *App Mech Rev, ASME*, Vol.52, No.1, pp.17-33.
- Chen, J. T., Liang, M. T. and Yang, S. S. (1995). "Dual Boundary Integral Equations for Exterior Problems," *Engng Anal Bound Elem*, Vol.16, pp.33-340.
- Harari, I. P., Barbone, E., Slavutin, M. and Shalom, R. (1998). "Boundary Infinite Elements for the Helmholtz Equation in Exterior Domains," *Int J Numer Meth Engng*, Vol.41, pp.1105-1131.
- Kleinman, R. E. and Roach, G. F. (1974). "Boundary Integral Equations for the Three-Dimensional Helmholtz Equation", *SIAM Review*, Vol.16, No.2, pp.214-236.
- Rezayat, M., Shippy, D. J. and Rizzo, F. J. (1986). "On Time Harmonic Elastic-wave Analysis by the Boundary Element Method", *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.55, pp.349-367.
- Schenck, H. A. (1968). "Improved Integral Formulation for Acoustic Radiation Problems", *J Acoust Soc Am*, Vol.44, pp.41-58.

摘要

本報告提出了當使用對偶邊界元素法時虛擬頻率產生的機制，並探討聲場上內域問題及外域問題中影響係數矩陣之間的關係。同時個別討論了隱藏於奇異積分方程及超強奇異積分方程中的不規則（或稱之虛擬）頻率（或特徵值），並發現不規則解的產生與積分方程表示式中的核函術有關。而陳以可分解核函數從理論及數值實驗上比較並證明不規則值的產生與邊界條件無關。本研究以外域聲場的 Dirichlet 及 Neumann 問題為例，得到當以奇異積分方程求解時產生的虛擬特徵值會對應到內域的 Dirichlet 問題，當以超強奇異積分方程求解時產生的虛擬特徵值會對應到內域的 Neumann 問題，而與邊界條件無關。

Table 1 Characteristic solutions for the interior Helmholtz equation with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

No. (n)	eigenvalues (k_n)	eigen equation	eigenmode $u_n(r, \theta)$	multiplicity
1	2.4048(2.4070)	$J_0(ka) = 0$	$J_0(2.4048r)$	1
2,3	3.8317(3.8342)	$J_1(ka) = 0$	$J_1(3.8317r)e^{\pm i\theta}$	2
4,5	5.1356(5.1388)	$J_2(ka) = 0$	$J_2(5.1356r)e^{\pm i2\theta}$	2
6	5.5201(5.5223)	$J_0(ka) = 0$	$J_0(5.5201r)$	1

Note that data in parenthesis are obtained by using dual BEM.

Table 2 Characteristic solutions for the interior Helmholtz equation with the Neumann boundary conditions

No. (n)	eigenvalues (k_n)	eigen equation	eigenmode $u_n(r, \theta)$	multiplicity
1	0.0000(0.0000)	$J_0'(ka) = 0$	$J_0(0.0000r)$	1
2,3	1.8412(1.8436)	$J_1'(ka) = 0$	$J_1(1.8412r)e^{\pm i\theta}$	2
4,5	3.0542(3.0586)	$J_2'(ka) = 0$	$J_2(3.0542r)e^{\pm i2\theta}$	2
6	3.8317(3.8364)	$J_0'(ka) = 0$	$J_0(3.8317r)$	1

Note that data in parenthesis are obtained by using dual BEM.

(file:brest99.te)





Fig.1 Spectral properties for the eight matrices

$$t(a, \theta) = \frac{-kH_3^{(1)}(ka) + \frac{4}{r}H_4^{(1)}(ka)}{H_4^{(1)}(ka)}$$

for the Neumann B.C

$$u(a, \theta) = \frac{H_4^{(1)}(kr)}{H_4^{(1)}(ka)} \cos(4\theta)$$

$$a < r, 0 < \theta < 2\pi$$



$$u(a, \theta) = \cos(4\theta)$$

for the Dirichlet B.C

Fig.2 The considered the Dirichlet and Neumann problems




Fig.3 Exact solutions for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems




Fig.4 Numerical solution for the Dirichlet problem




Fig.5 Numerical solution for the Neumann problem





Fig.6 The result of $u(l,0)$ versus k





Fig.8 The result of $u(l,0)$ versus k





Fig.7 The result of $u(l,0)$ versus k





Fig.9 The result of $u(l,0)$ versus k